

A Touchstone Energy Cooperative

September 30, 2014

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602



Re: Public Service Commission Case No. 2012-00428

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed an original and 14 copies of Inter-County Energy Cooperative Corporation's Response to the Commission Staff's Second Request for Information in the Matter of Case No. 2012-00428, Consideration of the Implementation of Smart Grid and Smart Meter Technologies, dated September 18, 2014.

Mr. David L. Phelps, Vice-President of Operations, will be the witness responsible for Inter-County Energy's responses.

Please let me know if there are any questions or additional information is needed.

Very truly yours,

James L. Jacobus President/CEO

**Enclosures** 

Copy to Case No. 2012-00428 Parties of Record

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in the Matter or:		
CONSIDERATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	)	CASE NO.
OF SMART GRID AND SMART METER	1	2012-00428

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

### INTER-COUNTY ENERGY COOPERATIVE RESPONSES

# CERTIFICATE

STATE OF KENTUCKY	)
COUNTY OF BOYLE	)

TECHNOLOGIES

I, David L. Phelps, Vice President-Operations of Inter-County Energy Cooperative, hereby certifies that I have supervised and prepared the responses to the Commission Staff's Second Request for Information in the abovementioned case, dated September 18, 2014, and that the responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

David L. Phelps

Vice President - Operations

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 30th day of September, 2014.

otary Public - ID No. 492615

My Commission Expires: July 15, 2017

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

CONSIDERATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION ) CASE NO. OF SMART GRID AND SMART METER ) 2012-00428 TECHNOLOGIES )

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

### INTER-COUNTY ENERGY COOPERATIVE RESPONSES

Each of the Joint Utilities shall respond to the following questions:

6. In the Report, the Joint Utilities state that no opt-outs should be permitted from AMR deployments.<sup>1</sup> Explain why the Joint Utilities believe that there should be no opt-outs for AMR meters (that only provide for one-way communication).

Response: Such as the report states, there are two major consumer objections to AMI and that is health and privacy. Inter-County Energy, hereafter referenced as ICE, utilized a power line carrier AMI system in which, like a common non AMI digital meter, has no radio transmission, which is the primary health concern, at the meter. In regards to consumer privacy, all customer information including AMI data, is protected by our Identity Theft Red Flag Prevention policy. Therefore ICE strongly believes there should be no opt-out options for AMR meters.

1 Id. at17.

Witness: David L. Phelps Case No. 2012-00428 7. The Report includes the following statements: "This section does not

address opt-outs from AMR metering. The Joint Utilities believe no opt-outs should be

permitted from AMR deployments, and a number of utilities have already deployed AMR

system-wide"2 and "...[t]he Joint Utilities oppose any across-the-board, one-size-fits-all

opt-out requirement for smart-meter deployments, but support each utility's ability to

propose opt-outs appropriate for their customers and systems."

Do you agree that opt-

outs should not be permitted for AMR meters (that only provide for one-way

communication)? If not, explain why.

Response: Yes.

8. Do you believe that opt-outs should be allowed for AMI or smart meters?

Response: No.

Has your response changed from your original position which may have

been set forth in your testimony or in response to earlier data requests? If so, explain.

Response: No.

9. If opt-outs are granted, should the customer electing to opt out be required

to bear the cost of the opt-out? Explain your response.

Response: Yes, because each opt-out granted will regenerate costs and

inefficiencies that were eliminated or reduced by the implementation of the AMR

system. Thus resulting in increased costs to other customers that do not share

the same views regarding the utility and its AMR/AMI system.

2 Id.

3 Id. at 27.

Witness: David L. Phelps Case No. 2012-00428

4

 Describe and estimate the costs that would be incurred to provide customer opt-out.

Response: \$65,000/Yr/Reader -- Meter Reading Charges

\$90,000/Yr/Office Support -- Data Entry and Processing

\$ Unknown -- Billing Estimate Losses

\$20,000+ -- Energy Theft Losses

(Estimated First Year Costs)

11. Are there any circumstances under which utilities should have the right to refuse to honor a customer's request to opt-out of AMI meters? Explain your response.

Response: Yes. When the customer requesting to opt-out refused to incur the costs associated with manual reading and data entry, or when the request poses a safety or security threat.

12. Refer to page 21 of the Report, paragraph 10. Describe how smart meters identify their malfunctioning early.

Response: There are monitoring features within the daily diagnostics and reading expectations of the AMI software that alert the utility that a meter is suspect. Reports include but not limited to: suspected tamper, meters not logging, number of days a meter has not logged, meters experiencing power failure, and collecting equipment down or not communicating. Whereas prior to AMI, the utility was dependent on a once in 30 day read and a periodic or sample meter test.

Witness: David L. Phelps Case No. 2012-00428 13. Refer to page 24 of the Report which gives the example of a customer's finding that daily meter reading is a privacy problem. State whether daily meter reading is the default or the normal occurrence.

Response: Daily meter reading is the normal occurrence.

14. Refer to page 26, paragraph 5. Confirm whether smart meters measure demand for residential customers.

Response: Yes, the AMI in service at ICE provides one non-coincident daily peak value and time for each of its customers.

15. Refer to CAC's comments on page 28 of the Report regarding the instantaneous remote disconnects. Do you believe that the ability to instantaneously and remotely disconnect a customer for non-payment is an advantage only to the utility, or does it also benefit other customers? Explain your response.

Response: ICE believes that it not only benefits other customers by reducing the amount of uncollected debt write-off, thus reducing the need for rate increases, but it also benefits those customers being disconnected for non-payments by reducing the amount of reconnect charges associated with truck rolls. It also benefits customers by reducing the reconnect time when restoring service. In addition, the remote disconnect switches are proving to be a success with those utilities that have implemented a pre-pay metering tariff for those customers who have trouble providing deposits and managing bills on a monthly basis.

Witness: David L. Phelps Case No. 2012-00428 16. If the Commission does not require the adoption of the EISA 2007 Smart

Grid Investment Standard or a derivative thereof, do you anticipate submitting an

application for a CPCN for any smart grid or smart meter deployment? Explain your

answer.

Response: If ICE decides to implement a new AMI system, an application

for a CPCN would be submitted unless it qualifies as an extension in the ordinary

course of business.

17. Are there any smart-grid deployments for which the Commission should

require the submission of a request for a CPCN?

Response: ICE is unsure of this at this time.

18. Refer to Appendix B of the Report. For each utility that currently does not

offer residential dynamic pricing tariffs, or for those whose only dynamic tariff offerings

are Electric Thermal Storage marketing rates, state whether such tariffs are being

considered for future implementation subject to Commission approval. If so, state what

type(s) of dynamic pricing tariffs are being considered. If not, state what factors caused

the utility to decide against proposing to implement such tariffs or cause it to be

otherwise unable to implement such tariffs.

Response: ICE does not currently have intentions of submitting a dynamic

pricing tariff. Once our customers, management, and the board of directors feel

that such a tariff is needed, our two-way AMI system has some capability to

support such tariffs.

Witness: David L. Phelps Case No. 2012-00428

7

19. In the Distribution Smart-Grid Components chapter of the Report, Owen Electric Cooperative mentions the Green Button initiative.<sup>4</sup> In its direct testimony, Kentucky Power Company ("Kentucky Power") notes its commitment to the Green Button initiative.<sup>5</sup> Indicate whether you participate in the Green Button initiative. If you participate in similar but different information efforts, identify those efforts.

Response: ICE supports the Green Button initiative and though not currently participating, ICE did install a two-way system which has some capability to support various Green Button initiatives.

<sup>4</sup> Id. at 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Direct testimony of Lila P. Munsey filed January 28, 2013 at 10.

### SERVICE LIST FOR CASE NO. 2012-00428

Allen Anderson President/CEO South Kentucky RECC P. O. Box 910 Somerset, KY 42502-0910

John B. Brown Chief Financial Officer Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. 3617 Lexington Road Winchester, KY 40391

Anthony S. Campbell President & CEO East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. P. O. Box 707 Winchester, KY 40392

Judy Cooper Manager, Regulatory Services Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. 2001 Mercer Road P. O. Box 14241 Lexington, KY 40512-4241

Rocco D'Ascenzo Senior Counsel Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. 139 East Fourth Street P. O. Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201

Gregory T. Dutton Assistant Attorney General Office of Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive – Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40501-8204

Paul G. Embs President & CEO Clark Energy Cooperative P. O. Box 748 Winchester, KY 40392-0748

Michael L. Miller President/CEO Nolin R.E.C.C. 411 Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701 David Estepp President & General Manager Big Sandy R.E.C.C. 504 11<sup>th</sup> Street Paintsville. KY 41240-1422

Carol Ann Fraley President & CEO Grayson R.E.C.C. 109 Bagby Park Grayson, KY 41143

Mark David Goss Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road – Suite B325 Lexington, KY 40504

Ted Hampton President & CEO Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Highway 25E Gray, KY 40734

Jennifer Black Hans Assistant Attorney General Office of Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive – Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601-8204

Joni K. Hazelrigg President & CEO Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative P. O. Box 328 Flemingsburg, KY 41041

Roger Hickman Regulatory Affairs Manager Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P. O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42420

Mark Stallons President/CEO Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. P. O. Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359 Larry Hicks President & CEO Salt River Electric Cooperative P. O. Box 609 Bardstown, KY 40004

Kerry K. Howard CEO Licking Valley RECC P. O. Box 605 West Liberty, KY 41472

James L. Jacobus President & CEO Inter-County Energy Cooperative P. O. Box 87 Danville, KY 40423-0087

Honorable Michael L. Kurtz Attorney at Law Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street – Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Mark Martin VP Rates & Regulatory Affairs Atmos Energy Corporation 3275 Highland Pointe Drive Owensboro, KY 42303

Debbie Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. 620 Old Finchville Road Shelbyville, KY 40065

Burns E. Mercer President & CEO Meade County R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 489 Brandenburg, KY 401088-0489

Barry L. Myers Manager Taylor County R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 100 Campbellsville, KY 42719

### SERVICE LIST FOR CASE NO. 2012-00428

Gregory Starheim President & CEO Kenergy Corporation 6402 Old Carydon Road P. O. Box 18 Henderson, KY 42419

Bill T. Prather President & CEO Farmers R.E.C.C. 504 South Broadway P. O. Box 1298 Glasgow, KY 42141-1298

Ranie Wohnhas Managing Director, Regulatory & Finance Kentucky Power Company 101 A Enterprise Drive P. O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602

Donald Smothers Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990 G. Kelly Nuckols President & CEO Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P. O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030

David S. Samford Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road – Suite B325 Lexington, KY 40504

Honorable Iris G. Skidmore 415 W. Main Street – Suite 2 Frankfort, KY 40601 Ed Staton VP State Regulation and Rates Kentucky Utilities Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40202

Ed Staton VP State Regulation and Rates Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40202

Carol Wright
President & CEO
Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporation
115 Jackson Energy Lane
McKee, KY 40447